FRANCINE L. HEWES

(813) 227-2332 fbcwes@slk-law.com

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP

JUL 2 0 2005

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA. SUITE 2800 101 EAST KENNEDY BOULEVARD TAMPA. FLORIDA 33602 (813) 229-7600 FAX (813) 229-1660

OTHER OFFICES: CHARLOTTE, NC COLUMBUS, OH TOLEDO. OH

MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 172609 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33672-0609

July 20, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE (703) 872-9306

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Office Action dated 06/01/2005

Application No.: 10/717,371 Filing Date: 11/19/2003

First Named Inventor: Diane Ghioto

Examiner: Eric Blount
Our File No. C45695-091720

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed herewith for applicant, DIANE GHIOTO is a response to the Office Action dated 06/01/2005.

If you have any questions, please call the undersigned at 813-229-7600.

Respectfully submitted,

ranein L Heurs

Francine L. Hewes

FLH

Enclosures

cc:

Diane Ghioto

Michael T. Trocke, Esq. J. Todd Timmerman, Esq.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/717,371	11/19/2003	Diano Ghioto	6722		
75			EXAMINER BLOUNT, ERIC		
Francine L. He	ewes, Esq. & Kendrick, LLP				
101 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tampa, FL 33	602		2636		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/717,371	GHIOTO, DIANE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric M. Blount	2636				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of (hirty (30) days till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CAUSE the proficetion to become ARANCONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the making date of this communication, CREUSC 8 1330				
Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. cs except for formal matters, pro					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рале Quayie , 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte rawing(s) be held in abeyance, See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	9				

JUL-20-2005 17:55 P.12

Application/Control Number: 10/717,371

Art Unit: 2636

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fons et al [U.S. Patent No. 5617585] in view of Hunt et al [Pub No. US 2003/0213184 A1].

Regarding claims 1-5, Fons discloses a sanitary sock system wherein each sock is fabricated of a tubular knit with a closed end and an open end with an intermediate extent there between, the closed end having a line of closure stitching positionable adjacent to a person's toes and the open end having an elastic band positionable around a person's ankle. Each sock has an elastomeric pad fabricated of an elastomer selected from the class of elastomers including plastic and rubber. The pad is of a generally rectangular configuration with a width less than half the intermediate extent and a length less than the length of the tubular knit and positioned closer to the closed end than the open end. The pads each have an upper face in secure contact by adhesive to the intermediate extent of the tubular knit and a lower face with projections in rows and columns integrally formed with the pad for traction purposes (Figures 1-3 and column 2, line 63-column 3, line63). While Fons does not specifically disclosed that the socks are "one size fits all" it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant that the sock could have been

Application/Control Number: 10/717,371

Art Unit: 2636

constructed to fit everyone. Several types of "one size fits all" socks were known in the

Page 3

art at the time of the invention (see U.S. 5,404,657, 6,279169, 5,575013, etc).

Providing socks in "one size fits all" is a well-known modification and can be viewed as

a matter of design choice. Fons discloses that the socks are to be used in

environments where conventional socks would offer little protection against the

elements (i.e. outside the home). Fons does not specifically disclose that the socks are

to be used during screening at an airport.

Hunt discloses a security checkpoint in an airport that comprises an entrance station for airline passengers to be screened for security purposes. The security checkpoint includes a metal detection station including opposed vertical plates adapted to detect metal there between and to generate a warning signal to an attendant upon a passenger walking between the plates if metal is on the passenger's person but to not generate a warning signal when no metal is detected on the passenger's person. An x-ray detection station is disclosed, including an x-ray camera and a plastic tray moveable beneath the x-ray camera, the tray is adapted to receive the passenger's personal items after the passenger has removed them. Hunt discloses that the passenger removes shoes before walking between the metal plates (paragraphs 10-13). The items in the tray are scanned for the detection of objects, which might be a security risk while the passenger is walking between the plates. Once this process is complete and no alarm has been sounded the passenger exits at the exit station (paragraph 47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the passenger dispose of socks in a necessary manner upon retrieving shoes.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,371

Art Unit: 2636

1 Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the passenger screening system taught by Fons to include the sanitary sock taught by Hunt because the modification would allow passengers' shoes to be screened without passengers having to soil conventional socks walking through the screening checkpoint. Likewise, it would have been obvious to provide the socks for passengers upon entering the passenger screening area. The teachings of the two inventions reasonably appear to meet all of the limitations set forth by the claim as written.

As for **claim 6**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant that any suitable fiber are material could have been used in fabricating the sock. This can be viewed as a matter of design choice.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references cited but not relied upon disclose either socks and/or security checkpoints. Each reference was useful during the examination of the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Blount whose telephone number is (571) 272-2973. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

JUL-20-2005 17:56 P.15

Application/Control Number: 10/717.371

Art Unit: 2636

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric M. Blount Examiner Art Unit 2636

JEFFERY HOFSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Jι	JL-20	0-2005 17:56						P.16	
Notice of References Cited				Application/Control No. 10/717,371 Applicant(s Reexaming GHIOTO, I					
-	Woulde of References Ched						Art Unit		
•					Eric M. Blount 2636			Page 1 of 1	
_		1		U.\$. P/	ATENT DOCUM	ENTS			
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY			Classification			
	A	US-5,617,585 A	04-1997	Fons et	Fons et al.			2/239	
	В	US-2003/0213184 A1	11-2003	1-2003 Hunt et al.				52/36,1	
	С	US-2003/0154625 A1 08-2003		Royle,	Royle, Stephen			36/7.3	
	0	US-5,763,337 A 08-19		Montgomery, Charles Daniel				442/398	
	Ε	US-4,598,485	07-1986	Joe et al.				36/7.2	
L	F	US-2005/0057354 A1	03-2005	Jenkins et al.				340/522	
	G	US-2004/0098237 A1	05-2004	Pendergraft et al.				703/007	
	Н	US-6,724,304 B2 04-200		Risi, Alan J.				340/540	
	1	U\$-6,888,460 B2	05-2005	Ambrefe, Jr., Joseph T.				340/541	
L	J	US-6,507,278 B1 01-2003 Brunet			ti et al.			340/641	
L	к	US-5,404,657 A	04-1995	Honeycutt, Larry W.			36/10		
	L	US-5,575,013 11-1996 Kra			ack, Frank G.			2/239	
	M US-6,067,659 05-2000 Reichie			Reichle,	e, Wayne			2/239	
	,			FOREIGN	PATENT DOC	JMENTS		·	
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY		C	ountry Name		Classification		
	N								
<u></u>	0								
	Р			_					
	a								
	R								
	S								
J	Т								
					TENT DOCUM				
*		Includ	e as applicable:	Author, Ti	itle Date, Publish	ner, Edition or Volum	e, Pertinent Pages)		
	U								
		•			<u> </u>				
	<u> </u>								
	w	-	***************************************				·· ·		

"A copy of this reference ts not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S Patent and Tradomark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 11192003